




Tue 6/14/2016 9:00 AM

drupal_admin <drupal_admin@epa.gov>

Harbor Comments

To ☐ HarborComments

 We removed extra line breaks from this message.

Submitted on 06/14/2016 11:00AM

Submitted values are:

Your Name: (b) (6)

Your Email: (b) (6)

Your Comments: It is simply not acceptable to leave 90% of the pollutants in the river, to use the river as a dump, and to essentially turn a blind eye to the impacts that industry have had on the river and on its health for generations. The proposed plan is a very weak response, particularly given the fact that much is known about what ought to be done. There ought to be some calculation of risk versus the high likelihood of success should EPA and others choose to actually address the problem rather than to ignore what needs to be done. The proposed plan is inadequate and sadly not up to the task. Even if it takes a few more years to arrive at a useful plan, it's better than diving into something that won't solve the problem and leaves undone important work for generations. Please direct the partners to get to work on a real solution. After 16 years there shouldn't be anywhere near this degree of inadequacy in what they propose. Frankly, it's an embarrassment. Thanks!